WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Oi	v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Ar	ntonio Fernando Castro-Garcia	Case Number: <u>13-01143M-001</u>
present and v	was represented by counsel. I conclude by he defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on February 6, 2013. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	ited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The 0 at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the materia the hearing in this matter, except as noted	I findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court in the record.
		NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defenda	
2.	No condition or combination of condition	ns will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		IS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, f defendant shall be afforded a reasonable o States or on request of an attorney for the	e Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in rom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending oportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
		etention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suff		third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ne District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: E-1	owien, 6, 2012	1 / Heleal
DATE: February 6, 2013		

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge